Judge Laught oy

## CIVIL DOCKET UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Jury demand date: 2 23 73 by plaintiff. 1

D. C. Form No. 106A Rev.

TITLE OF CASE

For plaintiff:

HENRY A. RUTTER
c/o Hydro Hoist Company
820 West 10th St.
Claremore, Oklahoma 74017

Plaintiff

Vs.

BARNEY V. WILLIAMS Route 2 Grove, Oklahoma 74344

Defendant

For defendant:

Paul H. Johnson Head & Johnson 424 Beacon Bldg. Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103 (584-4187)

Arthur Meyer 413 East Frank Phillips Blvd Suite 1 Bartlesville, Oklahoma

STATISTICAL RECORD	COSTS		DATE	NAME OR RECEIPT NO.	RE	C.	DI	SB.
J.S. 5 mailed /0-1-72	Clerk		<b>-22-72</b> -28-72	Dorman C.D.No.14	15.	00	15	00
		5	/16/7	Johnson	5	00		
J.S. 6 mailed 4-1-25	Marshal							
Basis of Action: For Costs & Injunction	Docket fee							
Pois	Witness fees							
Action arose at:	Depositions							
4						A. A		

FPI MI--1-3-72-100M-7199

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	Date Order or Judgment Note
9-22-72	Complaint filed and Summons issued. v	
9-28-72	Summons, ret. & filed: served Barney V. Williams, Grove, Ok., 9-26-7 (USM \$27.92)g	2.
10-12-72	ANSWER of deft., filed. r	
11-2-72	Case set for Pre-Trials on Thurs. 11-30-72 at 11:10 A.M. (FD-J)r	
11-24-72	Pltf.'s first interrogatories to def., filed. rm	
11-30-72	Pre-trial hearing held. Attorneys announce case will not be ready for trial until after April 1, 1973, and case is passed for Non-Jurtrial. Plaintifffto prepare proper pre-trial order. (FD-J)h	ry
12-1-72	Objections to Plaintiff's First Interrogatories, filed. v	
12-15-72	PRELIMINARY PRE-TRIAL ORDER, filed. (FD-J)r (Copies mailed to attys)	
1-23-73	Application for extension of time within which to conduct pre-trial and prepare pre-trial order filed by plaintiff. h	
<del>1=23=73</del>	Order filed granting parties to 2-14-73 in which to file pre-trial	
	order. (AENE-J)	
1-23-73 1-29-73	Demand for jury trial filed by plaintiff. h Answers of deft. to pltf's first interrogatories, filed. hm	
3-26-73	Deft's notice of prior art references upon which deft. will rely,	
	filed. g	
5-24-73	Ordered by the Court that this case is set for Non-Jury Trial on June 14, 1973, at 1:00 P.M. (FD-J)v -notices mailed.	
	Letter from Paul Johnson dated 5-29-73, requesting that N-J Trial be rescheduled. filed. r	
5-31-73	Copy of letter from J.D. to Mr. Johnson dated 5-30-73, stating that Case will be stricken from N-J Assignment, to be reset by Court, file	d. r
7-25-74	Case set for non-jury trial for 9/10/74 at 9:30 a.m.(FD-J)rm	
7-30-74	Pltf's 2nd Interrogatories to the Deft., filed.jj	
7-30-74 9-9-74	Pltf's 2nd Interrogatories to the Deft., filed.jj Deft's Trial brief w/ exhibits attached, filed.k	
9-9-74		
9-9-74 9-10-74	Deft's Trial brief w/ exhibits attached, filed.k  Non-jury trial held. Parties rep. by counsel of record. Statements made; witnesses sworn & testify; exhibits admitted and closing arguments made. Parties directed to file proposed FF/CL in 30 days	
9-9-74 9-10-74	Deft's Trial brief w/ exhibits attached, filed.k  Non-jury trial held. Parties rep. by counsel of record. Statements made; witnesses sworn & testify; exhibits admitted and closing arguments made. Parties directed to file proposed FF/CL in 30 days with separate briefs, if desired. (FD-J)rm  Trial exhibits, filed. rm	73,
9-9-74 9-10-74 9-10-74	Deft's Trial brief w/ exhibits attached, filed.k  Non-jury trial held. Parties rep. by counsel of record. Statements made; witnesses sworn & testify; exhibits admitted and closing arguments made. Parties directed to file proposed FF/CL in 30 days with separate briefs, if desired. (FD-J)rm  Trial exhibits, filed. rm  Deposition of Barney V. Williams taken on behalf of Pltf., on 2-15-16 before Loyd J. Christesson, CSR, with fees of \$47.00, paid by Pltf., Transcript of trial proceedings held 9/10/74, filed. rm (Tim Holmes-	73, fld. v
9-9-74 9-10-74 9-10-74 10-1-74	Deft's Trial brief w/ exhibits attached, filed.k  Non-jury trial held. Parties rep. by counsel of record. Statements made; witnesses sworn & testify; exhibits admitted and closing arguments made. Parties directed to file proposed FF/CL in 30 days with separate briefs, if desired. (FD-J)rm  Trial exhibits, filed. rm  Deposition of Barney V. Williams taken on behalf of Pltf., on 2-15-7 before Loyd J. Christesson, CSR, with fees of \$47.00, paid by Pltf., to the second of the s	73, fld. v

Sheet No. 2

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	Date Order or Judgment Note
11-4-74	Post-trial brief of pltf, filed. rm	
11-4-74	Proposed FF/CL of pltf., filed. rm	
3-3-75	Memorandum opinion, filed and entered, that pltf. is entitled to judg. of the Ct. that patent of pltf. is valid and is infringed by def.; that def. should be permanently enjoined from further infringement of patent in suit; that pltf. is entitled to accting. to determine amt. of damages to be awarded and whether or not a reasonable atty. fee should be awarded pltf. Counsel for pltf. directed to prepare appropriate judg. and order based on this opinion, submit to opposing counsel and then to Ct. for entry.(FD-J	Jugarina Jum on d.
8-17-75	Motion for Stay of an Injunction filed by the dft. mm	
3-20-75	Judgment and order, filed and entered, per memo opinion of 3/3/75. (FD-J)rm - copies mailed	
3-20-75	Writ of injunction, filed and entered, enjoining def. from infringing on Patent No. Re 27,090 of pltf. (FD-J)rm - copies mailed	ng
3-20-75	Letter, dated 3/19/75, filed, from FD to pltf. directing him to respond to mo/stay injunction of def. within 10 days; brief in support not necessary. (FD-J)rm	
3-21-75	Plfs. Response to Motion for Stay of Injunction, filed. mm	
3-28-75	Deft's motion for new trial under rule 59, filed.k	
3-28-75	Brief in support of deft's mot. for new trial under rule 59, filed	, <b>k</b>
4 <b>-</b> 3 <b>-</b> 75	Letter from FD to pltf. counsel, 4/2/75, granting pltf. to 4/17/75 to respond to mo/new trial of def., w/brief. (FD-J)rm	
4-16-75	Response of pltf. to def. mo/new trial, filed. rm	
4-16-75	Brief of pltf. in support of response to def. mo/new trial, filed.	rin
5-7-75	Order, filed and entered, denying def. mo/stay injunction.(FD-J)rm-	copies
5-7-75	Order, filed and entered, denying def. mo/new trial. (FD-J)rm-copie	s md
5 <b>-</b> 15 <b>-</b> 75	Notice of appeal by def. from judg. of 3/20/75, filed. rm (copies tounsel and USCA)	l l
5 <b>-</b> 15 <b>-</b> 75	Motion of def. for reconsideration of stay of injunction, filed. rm	
5-15-75	Brief of def. in support of mo/reconsider, filed. rm	
5=19 <b>-</b> 75	Cost bond on appeal (\$250 cash), filed. rm	
-21-75	Letter from Judge Daugherty stating the Deft. has filed a Motion of Reconsideration of Stay of Injunction. Said Motion is supported be a Brief. It is desired that the Plf respond to said Motion within 10 days and if opposed said Motion is to be accompanied by a brief, filed. (FD-J) mm	У
5 <b>-</b> 27 <b>-</b> 75	Response of pltf. to def. mo/reconsider, filed. rm	
5-27-75	Stipulation of parties that inj. be stayed pending appeal provided def. posts a bond in amt. of \$25,000, filed. rm	

DATE	PROCEEDINGS	Date Order or Judgment Note
5-29-75	Order, filed, that injunction entered on 3/20/75 be stayed pending appeal; that def. post bond in amt. of \$25,000 to cover past damages sustained by pltf. and future damages pending appeal; further that this stay will terminate if appeal is dismissed or if judg. and order of 3/20/75 is affirmed on appeal. (FD-J)rm - copies mailed	c
6-6-75	Letter, filed, dated 6/6/75 by def., re designation of record. rm	
6-10-75	Supersedeas bond, in amt. of \$25,000 cash, filed, and approved by Court. (FD-J)rm	
6 <b>-</b> 11 <b>-</b> 75	Motion of def. for authorization to Clerk to invest supersedeas bond in a certificate of deposit, filed. ${\sf rm} \; / \; {\it c}$	
6-12-75	Appeal record (5 vols.) transmitted to USCA. rm	
6-13-75	Letter, filed, from FD to pltf. counsel granting them 5 days to respond to mo/invest supersedeas bond. rm	
6-16-75	Plaintiff's response re investment of cash bond, filed. g	
6-20-75	MO: It is ordered by the Court that pltf. having no objections to the investment of the \$25,000 cash supersedeas bond in Certificate of Deposit, w/interest to accrue to def., that Jack C. Silver, Clerk of the Ct. for N.D., is hereby directed to purchas \$25,000 CD from The F&M Bank & Trust Company, at Tulsa, Oklahoma (FD-J)rm - letter written	ω-2 <sup>0-15</sup> e ,
9-22-76	Appl. of def. for refund of cash bond and accrued interest, filed.rm	
9-24	Response to appl. for refund of cash bond, fld. by Pltf. v	
10-20-76	Mandate and opinion of USCA, filed and spread of record, that judg. is reversed and cause remanded with direction to enter judgment for the def. rm	
10-20	Statement of costs from 10th Circuit, filed and taxed, in favor of appellant-Williams and against pltf. Rutter, in amt. of \$210.80. (JCS-Clk)rm	
10-20	Bill of costs of def., in amt. of \$335.75, filed. Cost hearing set for Wed., 10/27/76 at 10 a.m.(JCS-Clk)rm	
10-27	Costs taxed by Clerk in amt. of \$124.95 in favor of def. and against plaintiff.(JCS-Clk)rm	
11-5-76	Letter from FD to pltf. counsel directing him to advise whether he had any obj. to return of \$25,000 to def., filed.rm	
12-7	Order for refund of cash bond, filed, directing Clerk to refund to the deft. Barney V. Williams, the full amt. of the cash bond posted with the Clerk plus accrued interest. (FD-J)hm (copies mailed)	
12-17	Original record on appeal (5 volumes) received from USCA. rm	
12-28	Order for refund of \$250 cash appeal bond to Marney V. Williams, filed	•

CIVIL DOCKET CONTINUATION SHEET

DAUGHERTY

 stell pooling continuous and a		
PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	
		DOCKET NO. 72-C-347
RUTTER	WILLIAMS	PAGE OF PAGES

DATE	NR.	PROCEEDINGS
5 - 2 - 77		Judgment and order, filed and entered, that pltf. is owner of Patent No. 27,090; that claims 1 and 2 of Patent 27,090 are invalid; that costs are taxed to pltf., which costs have been satisfied.(FD-J)rm copies mailed
	The state of the s	
	delaj delaj vaj varan manumatanan aran indidan sa	
	CONTRACTOR	
	enter en	
	Market in the control of the control	
		DC-111A (Rev. 1/7

_AINTIFF			DEFENDANT		
				DOCKET NO	
				PAGE OF	PAGES
DATE	NR.		PROCEEDINGS		
	THE REAL PROPERTY.				
	İ				
		·			
	1	1			